

KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321)
United States Attorney

EUMI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722)
Chief, Criminal Division

ROBERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7210
Fax: (415) 436-7234

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. 3 05 70552
)	
Plaintiff,)	PROPOSED ORDER AND
)	STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE
v.)	FROM OCTOBER 14, 2005 TO OCTOBER
)	28, 2005 AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM
SHEILA WHITTENBERG,)	THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
)	CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §
Defendant.)	3161(h)(8)(A)) AND WAIVING TIME
)	LIMITS UNDER RULE 5.1

With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters this order scheduling an arraignment or preliminary hearing date of November 18, 2005 at 9:30A.M. before the duty magistrate judge, and documenting the defendant's waiver of the preliminary hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), from October 28, 2005 to November 18, 2005.

The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:

1. The defendant has been released on her own recognizance.
2. The defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) to provide continuity of counsel and reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
3. The defendant waives the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for

1 preliminary hearing.

2 4. Counsel for the defense believes that postponing the preliminary hearing is in his
3 client's best interest, and that it is not in his client's interest for the United States to indict the
4 case during the normal 20-day timeline established in Rule 5.1.

5 5. The Court finds that, taking into the account the public interest in the prompt
6 disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a
7 preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. Given these circumstances,
8 the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from October 28, 2005 to
9 November 18, 2005, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
10 § 3161(h)(8)(A).

11 6. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) sets a preliminary
12 hearing date before the duty magistrate judge on November 18, 2005, at 9:30A.M., and (2) orders
13 that the period from October 28, 2005 to November 18, 2005 be excluded from the time period
14 for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial
15 Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

16
17 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

18
19 DATED: _____ /s _____
20 RON TYLER
21 Attorney for Defendant

22 DATED: _____ /s _____
23 ROBERT DAVID REES
24 Assistant United States Attorney

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26
27 DATED: 11/2/05
28

HON. NANDOR VADAS
United States Magistrate Judge